



Bundesministerium
für Forschung, Technologie
und Raumfahrt



Übersicht über die Änderungen in den gekürzten Antragstemplaten in den AP 26/27 (RIA, IA, CSA)

Online, 19.03.2026

Dr. Frank Dreger

horizont-europa.de



Übersicht der Änderungen

	CSA 1st-stage	RIA/IA 1st-stage	CSA Vollantrag	RIA/IA Vollantrag
Tags sind entfernt	X	X	X	X
Max. Antragslänge gekürzt	--	--	X	X
Empfehlungen zu Seitenzahlen entfallen	X	X	--	--
Empfehlungen zu Seitenzahlen z.T. verändert	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
2.1: Wegfall von <i>scientific, economic</i> and <i>societal Outcome/Impact</i>	X	X	X	X
2.1: <i>Scale and significance</i> entfallen	X	X	X	X
2.3: <i>Summary/Canvas</i> jetzt optional	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
3.1: Details in <i>WP description to justify resources</i> entfällt	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
Table 3.1h: Reduziert auf „major equipment“	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
Tabellen 3.1i und 3.1j entfallen	n.a.	n.a.	X	X



Übersicht der Änderungen

	CSA 1st-stage	RIA/IA 1st-stage	CSA Vollantrag	RIA/IA Vollantrag
Tags sind entfernt	X	X	X	X
Max. Antragslänge gekürzt	--	--	X	X
Empfehlungen zu Seitenzahlen entfallen	X	X	--	--
Empfehlungen zu Seitenzahlen z.T. verändert	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
2.1: Wegfall von <i>scientific, economic and societal Outcome/Impact</i>	X	X	X	X
2.1: Beschreibung von <i>scale and significance</i> entfallen (weitgehend)	X	X	X	X
2.3: Summary/Canvas jetzt optional	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
3.1: Details in WP Beschreibung <i>to justify resources</i> entfällt	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
Table 3.1h: Reduziert auf „<i>major equipment</i>“	n.a.	n.a.	X	X
Tabellen 3.1i und 3.1j entfallen	n.a.	n.a.	X	X



Länge der Anträge ab AP 2026

Kostenabrechnung	RIA/IA	CSA
Lump sum	45 S.	28 S.
Actual cost	40 S.	25 S.

- **Vollanträge** für RIA/IA/CSA sind unabhängig vom Kostenmodell ab AP26 fünf Seiten kürzer.
- **Kurzanträge** bleiben unverändert bei 10 Seiten.



Wo wurde dabei besonders gekürzt?

- Kapitel 2.1: Erwartungen beim *Pathway to impact*
- Kapitel 3: Tabellen

Die Begutachtung ändert sich entsprechend in Nuancen. Weiterhin werden *Excellence*, *Impact* und *Implementation* gleichwertig mit max. 5 Punkten bewertet.



Kapitel 2.1:

Die allgemeinen *scientific, economic and societal* erwarteten *Impacts* müssen nicht mehr erläutert werden, da sie bereits unter dem Punkt „Expected Impact des Topics“ berücksichtigt sind.

~~★ | The outcomes and impacts of your project may: |~~



- ~~• Scientific, e.g. contributing to specific scientific advances, across and within disciplines, creating new knowledge, reinforcing scientific equipment and instruments, computing systems (i.e. research infrastructures);~~
- ~~• Economic/technological, e.g. bringing new products, services, business processes to the market, increasing efficiency, decreasing costs, increasing profits, contributing to standards' setting, etc.~~
- ~~• Societal, e.g. decreasing CO₂ emissions, decreasing avoidable mortality, improving policies and decision making, raising consumer awareness.~~

~~Only include such outcomes and impacts where your project would make a significant and direct contribution. Avoid describing very tenuous links to wider impacts. However, include any potential negative environmental outcome or impact of the project including when expected results are brought at scale (such as at commercial level). Where relevant, explain how the potential harm can be managed.~~



Im Kapitel 2.1 wurde der Unterpunkt b), in dem um eine detaillierte Angabe von „*scale and significance*“ gebeten wurde, weitgehend gestrichen. Es wird weiterhin Folgendes erwartet:
“*Provide quantified estimates when possible and relevant*”.

¶

2.1 → Project's pathways towards impact [e.g. 4.3 pages] ¶

- Provide a **narrative** explaining how the project's results are expected to make a difference in terms of impact, beyond the immediate scope and duration of the project. The narrative should include the components below, tailored to your project. ¶

(a) → Describe the unique contribution your project results would make towards: (1) the **outcomes** specified in this topic, and (2) the **wider impacts**, in the longer term, specified in the respective destinations in the work programme. Provide quantified estimates where possible and meaningful. ¶

⚠ → Be specific, referring to the effects of your project, and not R&I in general in this field. ¶

Impact – aspects to be taken into account.

- Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, ~~and the likely scale and significance of the contributions due to the project.~~
- Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities.

The results of your project should make a contribution to the expected outcomes set out for the work programme topic over the medium term, and to the wider expected impacts set out in the 'destination' over the longer term.

In this section you should show how your project could contribute to the outcomes and impacts described in the work programme, ~~the likely scale and significance of this contribution,~~ and the measures to maximise these impacts.

(b) ~~Give an indication of the scale and significance of the project's contribution to the expected outcomes and impacts, should the project be successful. Provide quantified estimates where possible and meaningful.~~

(c) ~~'Scale' refers to how widespread the outcomes and impacts are likely to be. For example, in terms of the size of the target group, or the proportion of that group, that should benefit over time; 'Significance' refers to the importance, or value, of those benefits. For example, number of additional healthy life years; efficiency savings in energy supply.~~

⚠ ~~Explain your baselines, benchmarks and assumptions used for those estimates. Wherever possible, quantify your estimation of the effects that you expect from your project. Explain assumptions that you make, referring for example to any relevant studies or statistics. Where appropriate, try to use only one methodology for calculating your estimates: not different methodologies for each partner, region or country (the extrapolation should preferably be prepared by one partner).~~

⚠ ~~Your estimate must relate to this project only – the effect of other initiatives should not be taken into account.~~



Call: [insert call identifier] – [insert call name]

EU Grants: Application form (HE RIA and

Kap. 2.3

Summary /

Canvas:

jetzt optional

2.3 Summary (OPTIONAL – Please remove this section if not used)

KEY ELEMENT OF THE IMPACT SECTION

SPECIFIC NEEDS	EXPECTED RESULTS	D & E & C MEASURES
<p><i>What are the specific needs that triggered this project?</i></p> <p>Insert here text for your proposal</p>	<p>What do you expect to generate by the end of the project?</p> <p>Insert here text for your proposal</p>	<p>What dissemination, exploitation and communication measures apply to the results?</p> <p>Insert here text for your proposal</p>



- → detailed-work-description, i.e.:¶
 - → a-list-of-work-packages-(table-3.1a);¶
 - → a-description-of-each-work-package-(table-3.1b);¶
 - → a-list-of-deliverables-(table-3.1c);¶

Kap. 3, WP: keine detaillierten Erläuterungen der notwendigen Ressourcen

⚠ → Give full details. Base your account on the logical structure of the project and the stages in which it is to be carried out. Each work package should be a substantial part of the work plan, and the number of work packages should be proportionate to the scale and complexity of the project. ¶

⚠ → Structure each work package by breaking it down into tasks. If tasks are not appropriate, work packages can be organised according to other criteria (e.g., according to the type of work or thematically). For each task or element of the work package, describe all activities to be carried out and quantify them (e.g., number of protocols, tests, measurements, combinations, study subjects, conferences, publications, etc.). Provide enough detail to clarify who will do this work and why it is needed for the project, (e.g., the level of qualification and number of person-months for personnel, as well as the requested equipment, consumables, meetings, etc.), to justify the proposed resources and so that progress can be monitored, including by the Commission. ¶

★ → You should give enough detail in each work package to justify the proposed resources to be allocated and also quantified information so that progress can be monitored, including by the Commission ¶

⚠ → Resources assigned to work packages should be in line with their objectives and deliverables. You are advised to include a distinct work package on 'project management', and to give due



- Tabelle 3.1h “*Purchase costs*” wurde vereinfacht. Gefragt wird nur noch nach *equipment costs*.
- Die Tabellen 3.1i “*Other costs categories*”, und 3.1j “*In-kind contributions*” wurden gelöscht.

Achtung: Bei erfolgreichen Anträgen, die nachdem actual costs-Modell gefördert werden, müssen diese Informationen während der GAP nachgeliefert werden.

Table 3.1h: ‘Purchase costs’ items (travel and subsistence, major equipment costs and other goods, works and services)

Please give details of the need for equipment costs, complete the table below for each participant if the purchase costs (i.e. the sum of the costs for ‘travel and subsistence’, ‘equipment’, and ‘other goods, works and services’) exceeds 15% of the personnel costs for that participant (according to the budget table in proposal part A). The record must list cost items in order of costs and starting with the largest cost item, up to the level that the remaining costs are below 15% of personnel costs.

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel and subsistence	Table 3.1i: ‘Other costs categories’ items (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services)	
Equipment	Please complete the table below for each participant that would like to declare costs under other costs categories (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services), irrespective of the percentage of personnel costs.	
Other goods, works and services		
Remaining purchase costs (<15% of pers. Costs)		
Total		

Table 3.1j: ‘In-kind contributions’ provided by third parties

Please complete the table below for each participant that will make use of in-kind contributions (non-financial resources made available free of charge by third parties). In-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge are declared by the participants as eligible direct costs of the corresponding cost category (e.g. personnel costs or purchase costs for equipment).

Participant Number/Short Name			
Third party name	Category	Cost (€)	Justification
	Select between		
	Seconded personnel		
	Travel and subsistence		
	Equipment		
	Other goods, works and services		
	Internally invoiced goods and services		



→ **Antragstellung**

→ Webinare

→ Infoblätter

→ **Kommentierte Antragsvorlagen**

→ Success Stories

Auf dieser Seite finden Sie alle unsere aktuellen Services auf einem Blick. Klicken Sie auf die einzelnen Infopunkte, um mehr zu erfahren:



<https://www.nks-bio-umw.de/antragstellung/kommentierte-antragsvorlagen>



Kommentierte Antragsvorlagen

Für Anträge ab 2026 (Kurz-, Voll-) sollten Sie unbedingt die folgenden kommentierten Antragstemplate verwenden aufgrund von Änderungen im Kapitel Impact:

- ↓ **kommentierte Antragsvorlage (RIA/IA-Vollantrag) 2026/2027**
- ↓ **kommentierte Antragsvorlage (RIA/IA-Kurzantrag) 2026/2027**
- ↓ **kommentierte Antragsvorlage (CSA-Vollantrag) 2026/2027**
- ↓ **kommentierte Antragsvorlage (CSA-Kurzantrag) 2026/2027**



Bundesministerium
für Forschung, Technologie
und Raumfahrt



NKS Bioökonomie
und Umwelt
Nationale Kontaktstelle zum
EU-Programm Horizont Europa

Service der NKS'en

horizont-europa.de



Individuelle Beratung
telefonisch
schriftlich
persönlich



Unterstützung bei:
Projektidee
Antrag
Vertragsverhandlungen
Projektdurchführung



**Kostenfrei
und
Vertraulich**



Veranstaltungen
Infoveranstaltungen
Webinare
Trainings

**Kontakt
zur
Kommission**

Informationen
Newsletter
Infomaterialien


**Skizzen-
und
Antragsdurchsicht**



**Unser
Service
für
Sie**

Newsletteranmeldung:

<https://www.nks-bio-umw.de/service/newsletter>





Infoblätter der NKS Bioökonomie und Umwelt



©Jane - stock.adobe.com

Infoblätter - Kurz notiert

Eine Antragstellung in Cluster 6 von Horizont Europa ist komplex und wirft oft viele Fragen auf. Um Ihnen einen besseren ersten Überblick zu geben, veröffentlichen wir hier themenspezifische Infoblätter. Sie können uns natürlich jederzeit zu den unten genannten und anderen Themen → **kontaktieren**.

Zu vielen Themen rund um Horizont Europa und die Antragstellung gibt es Infoblätter auf unserer Webseite:
<https://www.nks-bio-umw.de/antragstellung/infoblaetter>

Grundbegriffe in Horizont Europa

↓ Grundbegriffe

↓ Technology Readiness Level (TRL)

↓ Do No Significant Harm (DNSH)

↓ Gender Equality Plan (GEP)

↓ Missionen

↓ Partnerschaften

Basics der Antragstellung

↓ Checkliste Antragstellung

↓ Zwei-Faktor-Authentifizierung

↓ Topicsuche

↓ Topicanalyse

↓ Lump Sum Finanzierung

↓ Kaskadenfinanzierung (FSTP)

↓ Dissemination, Exploitation, Communication (DEC)

↓ SMART-Prinzip

Begutachtung

↓ Gutachter/In werden

↓ Begutachtungsprozess

↓ Blind Evaluation Pilot

↓ Reserveliste

Konsortialbildung & Kooperation

↓ Partnersuche

↓ Internationale Kooperation

↓ Multi-Actor Approach (MAA)

↓ Social Sciences & Humanities (SSH)

↓ Joint Research Center (JRC)

↓ Circular Cities and Regions Initiative (CCRI)



Veranstaltungen



19.3.-
17.4

Webinarreihe der NKS B&U

Gut vorbereitet für die Deadlines: Was Sie jetzt zur Antragstellung im Cluster 6 und den Missionen wissen sollten

16.-
28.4

Webinarreihe der NKS B&U

Webinarreihe: EU-Partnerschaften Cluster 6 Kompakt

Wir freuen
uns über
Ihre
Fragen
und Ihr
Feedback!





Erstberatung

✉ nks-bio-umw@ptj.de

☎ +49 30 20199 3682

Fragen zur Veranstaltung

Dr. Frank Dreger

✉ f.dreger@ptj.de



©master1305 - stock.adobe.com

Kontaktieren

Sie uns